

## **University of Washington Center for Educational Leadership: August 17 Training**

### **Foundational Ideas**

- If students are not learning, they are not being afforded powerful learning opportunities.
- Teaching is a highly complex and sophisticated endeavor.
- Practice of sophisticated endeavors only improves when it is open for analysis and critique.
- Improving practice in a culture that is “public” requires reciprocal accountability.
- Reciprocal accountability implies a particular kind of leadership to improve teaching and learning.
- Leaders cannot lead what they don’t know.

Common language for what is high quality instruction + Knowing how to lead for that= instructional effectiveness

### **The instructional core**

A way to describe what teaching and instruction are

1. Teachers: Increase knowledge, skills, and expertise
2. Students: Change the role of the student as learner
3. Content: Increase the level of complexity of the curriculum/ content

To really improve teaching, we have to work on all three factors.

Context is important and influences each of these factors.

### **Instructional framework:**

- A set of descriptions of teaching behaviors
- Linked to improved student learning
- Based on research and the work of practitioners

### **The UW 5D Framework**

- The result of a study on what highly effective principals look for in a classroom
- Validated by research
- Organized into dimensions, sub-dimensions, and guiding questions
- Vision statement= north star- what we are aiming for

### **Calibration Work**

- Allows educators to develop a common vision of what student engagement looks like.
- An ongoing process.
- This could be great for schools to bring back and do in departments using the tool that they use locally.

### **Descriptive Observation**

Visual Thinking Strategies- a way to just look without bringing our own biases into our observations and what we see.

Three questions:

- What do you see?
- What do you see that makes you say that?
- What else do you see?

## **Observation process**

Name your look fors before entering the classroom

Collect descriptive data- "I notice"

- It is challenging to enter a classroom without infusing judgment immediately.
- Description without judgment creates a record of what actually happened so that teachers do not debate this when debriefing.
- Specificity of evidence
- Important to notice when our bias has been activated

Identify your wonderings- "I wonder"

- The purpose of wondering is to assume positive intent and not become overly committed to our initial judgments.
- Wonderings are a way to collect additional evidence needed to provide feedback to the teacher
- If you know the answer, it is not a wondering.
- The wondering should generate conversation.
- Wondering needs to be associated with something you actually saw
- Wondering stems
  - How did you make the decision about ?
  - What is your vision for?
  - How do you typically . . ? How was that like or not like what you did today?
  - What did you learn from/ about your students today when you . . . /when they . . . ?
  - Talk me through . . .

Identify teacher strengths

- When you . . . it resulted in students . . .

Define Can vs. "Verge of"

- Can means there is strong evidence that the practice is in place (with intention) and effective
- Verge of means there is evidence of the practice in place (with intention) but it may not be as effective as it needs to be.

## **Effective Feedback**

- Timely
- Descriptive
- Positive
- Clear and specific
- Differentiated

## **Characteristics of Effective Targeted Feedback**

1. Framed around actionable improvements
2. Based on evidence of teaching strategy and/or student response
3. Situated within a context

## **Basic Coaching Conversation Structure**

1. Set the context
2. Research

3. Share evidence
4. Decide
5. Determine or reflect on the coaching plan

### **Targeted Feedback Cycle: Next Step Conversation**

1. Set the context if needed
2. Share evidence
3. Ask for teacher responses in relation to their area of focus and ask for teacher's next step
4. (Provide a next step if teacher didn't decide upon a next step)
5. Commit to follow up

### **Questions to guide reflection on department time**

- What is the purpose of your department meeting time?
- What do teachers understand as the purpose?
- How does the time fit with the school's professional development?
- What would be ideal outcomes for a year of department meetings?
- What strengths does your department already have?
- What is a next step you can imagine for that time?